

Jap Warships Ordered Home.

Washington, April 19.—Japan has ordered her warships back to their home port of Asama to receive supplies. Advice to that effect was received today from Tokyo to the Japanese embassy.

FUNERAL OF DIBBLE TO BE HELD TODAY

For Many Years He Had Been a Member of the Editorial Staff of The Constitution.



Do You Want the Very Best Results Obtainable From Your Kodak Negatives?

Then Bring Them to

US for DEVELOPMENT
WE'RE SPECIALISTS

AT DEVELOPING, PRINTING
AND ENLARGING

Glenn Photo Stock Co.

Eastman Kodak Company

117 Peachtree Opp. Piedmont

Atlanta, Georgia

Telephone: 2-1111

Telex: 117

Teletype: 117

MAKE YOUR COMPLAINTS TO ME, ADVISES CHIEF

Beavers Says He Will Not Pay
Notice to Criticisms in
Newspapers.

Replying to the various criticisms of Atlanta business men, who have lambasted Chief Beavers through "The press," the lawman has this to say in view with a Constitution reporter: said that such criticisms would be unheeded.

by him unless made to him by the complainers.

The chief has a complaint to make against some for failing to do something, or for something I have done," said the chief. "He should make a complaint to me. I am the one to whom all complaints are addressed. If advertising is all that is being done, then I am the one to whom all complaints are addressed to respect giving them to newspaper men who do visit my office."

The chief further stated that he had received a number of complaints made against anybody connected with the police department.

This statement, coming as it does on the eve of the first meeting of the Atlanta police commissioners, which holds a public session tomorrow night, whatever complaints they might have against the police, shows that Atlanta is welcoming all just complaints.

MASON IS INDORSED IN ORDINARY'S RACE BY CITY COUNCILMAN

Every member of the general council Monday signed a petition giving his unqualified endorsement of Comptroller C. C. Mason, of the tenth ward, for the election as assistant ordinary of Fulton county on the ticket of Thomas H. Jeffries and the ticket of the city of Atlanta.

In signing the paper members of council stated that in endorsing Mr. Mason they also endorse Mr. Jeffries.

In the event of the election of the Jeffries-Mason ticket, Mr. Mason will be succeeded as a successor to Mr. Mason.

Mr. Jeffries had for that tenth ward two prominent supporters before being boomed by friends of the council.

The other supporters were a member of the police board, and Professor A. T. Peacock, of Georgia Tech.

M'DEVITT IS MISSING; FOUL PLAY SUSPECTED BY CITY COUNCILMAN

Police Are Now Investigating
Disappearance of Rail-
road Man.

Since April 16 the disappearance of James A. McDowell, aged 33, a railway employee of Asheville, Tenn., has remained as mysterious as ever to

HEALTH EXPERTS MEET IN MACON THIS WEEK

Health Officers Convene This
Morning and Medical So-
ciety Tomorrow.

Macon, Ga., April 19.—(Special)—Macon is to be the mecca for medical and health experts during this week, two important conventions being scheduled.

Tomorrow the State, County and Municipal Health officers association will meet at the Hotel Temple for annual session with President A. V. Wood, of Brunswick, presiding. It is expected that fully 200 health experts from over the state and from other states will call on a number of visitors from other states. One of the most noted visitors will be Surgeon General R. C. Greer, of the state public health service of Washington, D. C. He will deliver an address on typhoid fever.

The medical society was welcomed to the city by Mayor Bridges Smith, and following the reading of the minutes of the last meeting, Dr. W. C. Wood, president of Present Wood, important subjects relating to the health of the state will be discussed.

To discuss the statistics,

An important topic to be considered will be the present status of vital statistics in Georgia. This will be discussed by Dr. W. C. Wood, president of the state board of health, Atlanta.

Dr. Peter F. Bahnsen, state veterinarian, will speak on "The Veterinarian's Relation to the Public Health Work." Dr. R. C. Greer, of the state board of health, Washington, D. C., Dr. L. H. Hagersey, Augusta's food inspector, will discuss "Food Inspection." Dr. C. H. Collins, state health officer of Indiana, and the state board of health, will discuss "Immunity." Dr. A. G. Fort, director of the state health department, will speak on "How We Can Utilize the Valuable Facts Demonstrated by Medical Inspection of Tires, Caskets and Automobiles in the Household Campaign." Col. R. C. Ellis will discuss "The Ellis Public Health Bill."

John H. Rutherford's discussion of Typhoid Fever.

Medical Society Meeting.

The Georgia medical society will be in session at the Hotel Temple on Thursday and Friday. The sessions will be held in the auditorium of the Hotel Temple and will be presided over by Dr. W. C. Wood, of Macon, of Commerce. The councilors and the house of delegates of the organization will conduct a principal social feature will be a banquet to be held on Friday evening.

The principal social feature will be a banquet to be held on Friday evening.

The police have questioned all railroad conductors on trains leaving Atlanta, and will make a search for him.

The Southern Railway, Louisville, Ky., whose family is now living at a board house in Atlanta, has offered a \$1,000 reward for his return.

Mr. McDowell, who has been missing since April 16, was last seen in Lovejoy street, Atlanta, will leave on Friday night for Asheville, where he will seek his sister.

Mr. McDowell is of well-known reputation, who is friends of the Melvilles, of Asheville and offered to aid in the search.

The police have questioned all railroad conductors on trains leaving Atlanta, and will make a search for him.

The man was well known to all of them and was well known to all of them.

It is believed that he has been in the belief that Melvitt has met with foul play.

The police have questioned all railroad conductors on trains leaving Atlanta, and will make a search for him.

The man was well known to all of them and was well known to all of them.

It is believed that he has been in the belief that Melvitt has met with foul play.

The police have questioned all railroad conductors on trains leaving Atlanta, and will make a search for him.

The man was well known to all of them and was well known to all of them.

It is believed that he has been in the belief that Melvitt has met with foul play.

The police have questioned all railroad conductors on trains leaving Atlanta, and will make a search for him.

The man was well known to all of them and was well known to all of them.

It is believed that he has been in the belief that Melvitt has met with foul play.

The police have questioned all railroad conductors on trains leaving Atlanta, and will make a search for him.

The man was well known to all of them and was well known to all of them.

It is believed that he has been in the belief that Melvitt has met with foul play.

The police have questioned all railroad conductors on trains leaving Atlanta, and will make a search for him.

The man was well known to all of them and was well known to all of them.

It is believed that he has been in the belief that Melvitt has met with foul play.

The police have questioned all railroad conductors on trains leaving Atlanta, and will make a search for him.

The man was well known to all of them and was well known to all of them.

It is believed that he has been in the belief that Melvitt has met with foul play.

The police have questioned all railroad conductors on trains leaving Atlanta, and will make a search for him.

The man was well known to all of them and was well known to all of them.

It is believed that he has been in the belief that Melvitt has met with foul play.

The police have questioned all railroad conductors on trains leaving Atlanta, and will make a search for him.

The man was well known to all of them and was well known to all of them.

It is believed that he has been in the belief that Melvitt has met with foul play.

The police have questioned all railroad conductors on trains leaving Atlanta, and will make a search for him.

The man was well known to all of them and was well known to all of them.

It is believed that he has been in the belief that Melvitt has met with foul play.

The police have questioned all railroad conductors on trains leaving Atlanta, and will make a search for him.

The man was well known to all of them and was well known to all of them.

It is believed that he has been in the belief that Melvitt has met with foul play.

The police have questioned all railroad conductors on trains leaving Atlanta, and will make a search for him.

The man was well known to all of them and was well known to all of them.

It is believed that he has been in the belief that Melvitt has met with foul play.

The police have questioned all railroad conductors on trains leaving Atlanta, and will make a search for him.

The man was well known to all of them and was well known to all of them.

It is believed that he has been in the belief that Melvitt has met with foul play.

The police have questioned all railroad conductors on trains leaving Atlanta, and will make a search for him.

The man was well known to all of them and was well known to all of them.

It is believed that he has been in the belief that Melvitt has met with foul play.

The police have questioned all railroad conductors on trains leaving Atlanta, and will make a search for him.

The man was well known to all of them and was well known to all of them.

It is believed that he has been in the belief that Melvitt has met with foul play.

The police have questioned all railroad conductors on trains leaving Atlanta, and will make a search for him.

The man was well known to all of them and was well known to all of them.

It is believed that he has been in the belief that Melvitt has met with foul play.

The police have questioned all railroad conductors on trains leaving Atlanta, and will make a search for him.

The man was well known to all of them and was well known to all of them.

It is believed that he has been in the belief that Melvitt has met with foul play.

The police have questioned all railroad conductors on trains leaving Atlanta, and will make a search for him.

The man was well known to all of them and was well known to all of them.

It is believed that he has been in the belief that Melvitt has met with foul play.

The police have questioned all railroad conductors on trains leaving Atlanta, and will make a search for him.

The man was well known to all of them and was well known to all of them.

It is believed that he has been in the belief that Melvitt has met with foul play.

The police have questioned all railroad conductors on trains leaving Atlanta, and will make a search for him.

The man was well known to all of them and was well known to all of them.

It is believed that he has been in the belief that Melvitt has met with foul play.

The police have questioned all railroad conductors on trains leaving Atlanta, and will make a search for him.

The man was well known to all of them and was well known to all of them.

It is believed that he has been in the belief that Melvitt has met with foul play.

The police have questioned all railroad conductors on trains leaving Atlanta, and will make a search for him.

The man was well known to all of them and was well known to all of them.

It is believed that he has been in the belief that Melvitt has met with foul play.

The police have questioned all railroad conductors on trains leaving Atlanta, and will make a search for him.

The man was well known to all of them and was well known to all of them.

It is believed that he has been in the belief that Melvitt has met with foul play.

The police have questioned all railroad conductors on trains leaving Atlanta, and will make a search for him.

The man was well known to all of them and was well known to all of them.

It is believed that he has been in the belief that Melvitt has met with foul play.

The police have questioned all railroad conductors on trains leaving Atlanta, and will make a search for him.

The man was well known to all of them and was well known to all of them.

It is believed that he has been in the belief that Melvitt has met with foul play.

The police have questioned all railroad conductors on trains leaving Atlanta, and will make a search for him.

The man was well known to all of them and was well known to all of them.

It is believed that he has been in the belief that Melvitt has met with foul play.

The police have questioned all railroad conductors on trains leaving Atlanta, and will make a search for him.

The man was well known to all of them and was well known to all of them.

It is believed that he has been in the belief that Melvitt has met with foul play.

The police have questioned all railroad conductors on trains leaving Atlanta, and will make a search for him.

The man was well known to all of them and was well known to all of them.

It is believed that he has been in the belief that Melvitt has met with foul play.

The police have questioned all railroad conductors on trains leaving Atlanta, and will make a search for him.

The man was well known to all of them and was well known to all of them.

It is believed that he has been in the belief that Melvitt has met with foul play.

The police have questioned all railroad conductors on trains leaving Atlanta, and will make a search for him.

The man was well known to all of them and was well known to all of them.

It is believed that he has been in the belief that Melvitt has met with foul play.

The police have questioned all railroad conductors on trains leaving Atlanta, and will make a search for him.

The man was well known to all of them and was well known to all of them.

It is believed that he has been in the belief that Melvitt has met with foul play.

The police have questioned all railroad conductors on trains leaving Atlanta, and will make a search for him.

The man was well known to all of them and was well known to all of them.

It is believed that he has been in the belief that Melvitt has met with foul play.

The police have questioned all railroad conductors on trains leaving Atlanta, and will make a search for him.

The man was well known to all of them and was well known to all of them.

It is believed that he has been in the belief that Melvitt has met with foul play.

The police have questioned all railroad conductors on trains leaving Atlanta, and will make a search for him.

The man was well known to all of them and was well known to all of them.

It is believed that he has been in the belief that Melvitt has met with foul play.

The police have questioned all railroad conductors on trains leaving Atlanta, and will make a search for him.

The man was well known to all of them and was well known to all of them.

It is believed that he has been in the belief that Melvitt has met with foul play.

The police have questioned all railroad conductors on trains leaving Atlanta, and will make a search for him.

The man was well known to all of them and was well known to all of them.

It is believed that he has been in the belief that Melvitt has met with foul play.

The police have questioned all railroad conductors on trains leaving Atlanta, and will make a search for him.

The man was well known to all of them and was well known to all of them.

It is believed that he has been in the belief that Melvitt has met with foul play.

The police have questioned all railroad conductors on trains leaving Atlanta, and will make a search for him.

The man was well known to all of them and was well known to all of them.

It is believed that he has been in the belief that Melvitt has met with foul play.

The police have questioned all railroad conductors on trains leaving Atlanta, and will make a search for him.

The man was well known to all of them and was well known to all of them.

It is believed that he has been in the belief that Melvitt has met with foul play.

The police have questioned all railroad conductors on trains leaving Atlanta, and will make a search for him.

The man was well known to all of them and was well known to all of them.

It is believed that he has been in the belief that Melvitt has met with foul play.

The police have questioned all railroad conductors on trains leaving Atlanta, and will make a search for him.

The man was well known to all of them and was well known to all of them.

It is believed that he has been in the belief that Melvitt has met with foul play.

The police have questioned all railroad conductors on trains leaving Atlanta, and will make a search for him.

The man was well known to all of them and was well known to all of them.

It is believed that he has been in the belief that Melvitt has met with foul play.

The police have questioned all railroad conductors on trains leaving Atlanta, and will make a search for him.

The man was well known to all of them and was well known to all of them.

It is believed that he has been in the belief that Melvitt has met with foul play.

The police have questioned all railroad conductors on trains leaving Atlanta, and will make a search for him.

The man was well known to all of them and was well known to all of them.

It is believed that he has been in the belief that Melvitt has met with foul play.

The police have questioned all railroad conductors on trains leaving Atlanta, and will make a search for him.

The man was well known to all of them and was well known to all of them.

It is believed that he has been in the belief that Melvitt has met with foul play.

The police have questioned all railroad conductors on trains leaving Atlanta, and will make a search for him.

The man was well known to all of them and was well known to all of them.

It is believed that he has been in the belief that Melvitt has met with foul play.

The police have questioned all railroad conductors on trains leaving Atlanta, and will make a search for him.

The man was well known to all of them and was well known to all of them.

It is believed that he has been in the belief that Melvitt has met with foul play.

The police have questioned all railroad conductors on trains leaving Atlanta, and will make a search for him.

The man was well known to all of them and was well known to all of them.

It is believed that he has been in the belief that Melvitt has met with foul play.

The police have questioned all railroad conductors on trains leaving Atlanta, and will make a search for him.

The man was well known to all of them and was well known to all of them.

It is believed that he has been in the belief that Melvitt has met with foul play.

The police have questioned all railroad conductors on trains leaving Atlanta, and will make a search for him.

The man was well known to all of them and was well known to all of them.

It is believed that he has been in the belief that Melvitt has met with foul play.

The police have questioned all railroad conductors on trains leaving Atlanta, and will make a search for him.

The man was well known to all of them and was well known to all of them.

It is believed that he has been in the belief that Melvitt has met with foul play.

The police have questioned all railroad conductors on trains leaving Atlanta, and will make a search for him.

The man was well known to all of them and was well known to all of them.

It is believed that he has been in the belief that Melvitt has met with foul play.

The police have questioned all railroad conductors on trains leaving Atlanta, and will make a search for him.

The man was well known to all of them and was well known to all of them.

It is believed that he has been in the belief that Melvitt has met with foul play.

The police have questioned all railroad conductors on trains leaving Atlanta, and will make a search for him.

The man was well known to all of them and was well known to all of them.

It is believed that he has been in the belief that Melvitt has met with foul play.

The police have questioned all railroad conductors on trains leaving Atlanta, and will make a search for him.

The man was well known to all of them and was well known to all of them.

It is believed that he has been in the belief that Melvitt has met with foul play.

The police have questioned all railroad conductors on trains leaving Atlanta, and will make a search for him.

The man was well known to all of them and was well known to all of them.

It is believed that he has been in the belief that Melvitt has met with foul play.

THE CONSTITUTION

Established 1865

THE STANDARD SOUTHERN NEWSPAPER

Published Daily, Sunday, Tri-Weekly

CLARK HOWELL

Editor and General Manager

Directors: Clark Howell, Ruby Robinson, Alfred Howell, Jr., E. R. Black, H. W. Gray.

Telephone Main 5000.



Entered at the post office at Atlanta, Georgia, as second-class mail matter.

POSTAGE STATES AND MEXICO.

10 to 15¢ per ounce. 15 to 24¢ per ounce. 25¢ to 30¢ per ounce. 30¢ to 36¢ per ounce. 36¢ to 40¢ per ounce. 40¢ to 45¢ per ounce. 45¢ to 50¢ per ounce. 50¢ to 55¢ per ounce. 55¢ to 60¢ per ounce. 60¢ to 65¢ per ounce. 65¢ to 70¢ per ounce. 70¢ to 75¢ per ounce. 75¢ to 80¢ per ounce. 80¢ to 85¢ per ounce. 85¢ to 90¢ per ounce. 90¢ to 95¢ per ounce. 95¢ to 100¢ per ounce.

ATLANTA, GA., April 20, 1915.

SUBSCRIPTION RATES:

By Mail in the United States and Mexico. Payable invariably in advance.

Daily and Sunday ... 1 m. 60¢ 12 m. 12 m.

Daily ... 60¢ 12¢ 12 m. 12 m.

Sunday ... 60¢ 12¢ 12 m. 12 m.

Twice-Weekly ... 60¢ 12¢ 12 m. 12 m.

By Carrier ... 60¢ 12¢ 12 m. 12 m.

In Atlanta, 25 cents a month or 12 cents per week. Outside of Atlanta, 65 cents per month or 14 cents per week.

J. R. HOLLIDAY, Constitution Building, sole Advertising Manager for all territory outside of Atlanta.

The address of the Washington Bureau is No. 1777 S Street, N. W., Mr. John Corrigan, Jr., staff correspondent, in charge.

THE CONSTITUTION is on sale in New York, Boston, Philadelphia, and other cities. It can be had at Houlton's Newsstands, Broadway and Fifth Avenue, Sixty-first Street, Broadway and Twenty-ninth Street, and Broadway.

The Constitution is not responsible for advance payments to out-of-town local car-
riers, dealers or agents.

TRADE LAW ANALYZED.

Keen analysis and clear exposition of the recent act of congress, under which the federal trade commission has just been appointed, has brought deserved commendation to Hollings N. Randolph, a well-known Atlanta attorney, whose review of the law and definition of the commission's duties were recently published in The Constitution, not only from leading business men in many sections of the country, but from the commission itself.

In a letter from W. H. Parry, acting chairman of the commission, addressed to Mr. Randolph, Mr. Parry states that the review in question "presents a discussion of this commission from a point of view in which it is especially interested," and further says, "The commission in particular desires to confirm the statements you make regarding the purpose of the commission to exercise its powers in a manner which shall promote and conserve the true business interests of the country, by approaching the problems presented to it in a clean, dispassionate spirit."

Following its enactment, Mr. Randolph made a careful and detailed study of the law not only as to its own terms, but considering other laws as well as constitutional questions in connection with its application; and the result was a digest which set forth clearly and precisely the duties of the commission and the point beyond which it was not authorized to go.

With these duties defined, Mr. Randolph showed that the benefit to be derived from the commission's activities would depend largely upon the attitude it bears toward the problem with which it has to deal, as well as toward the industries themselves. Following Mr. Randolph's suggestion, the commission itself, through its acting chairman, gives assurance of its determination to approach these problems in the calm, dispassionate and constructive spirit which is essential to the attainment of the best and most far-reaching results. Mr. Randolph has rendered the commission constructive assistance at the outset, and his work will be watched here with the keenest interest as it builds for the greater industrial progress of the nation.

The Constitution took occasion in its editorial of March 29 to say that "the personnel of the commission and the circumstances surrounding its creation all point to Mr. Randolph's reasoning deductions," and it is gratifying to have this view of the matter now officially confirmed.

FOR CIVIC BETTERMENT.

In two projects of which the Ad Men's Club of Atlanta has taken hold this week, it has given splendid evidence of its determined activity for civic improvement.

In inviting Mrs. R. M. Walker, President of the Atlanta School Improvement Association, to speak at the 125th anniversary of the Ad Men, the Ad Men have put themselves squarely behind the movement for public school betterment in a way that will prove most effective. Advocates always of a thorough school system, and confident of the necessity for substantial reforms, they seek to acquire firsthand information as to school conditions from those who have made direct personal investigation. It was with this end in view that they invited Mrs. Walker to bring them this accurate information.

Quick to translate sentiment into action, there is no doubt that the efforts of the corporate membership will be enlisted in the work of getting better and more efficient schools.

The support and influence of all of Atlanta's leading civic organizations are imperatively needed in this work, and it is certain that of the Atlanta Ad Men will prove most satisfactory and beneficial.

Likewise in direct line with civic progress, the Ad Men are sponsoring for the Atlanta Anti-Tuberculosis Association a free public stereopticon and moving picture lecture, dealing with the prevention of tuberculosis, to be given at the Grand opera house next Sunday evening. Arranged through the Ad Men, this lecture is in direct line with the association's educational work in seeking to eliminate tuberculosis by teaching the people how to avoid it. An long as there is one person with tuberculosis there is danger of its spreading to others, and only in complete and thorough instruction as to preventive measures and their adoption is there hope of its ultimate elimination.

In aligning themselves with such movements as these, the Atlanta Ad Men are doing important as well as effective work for civic betterment. They have not only been found ready at all times to pitch enthusiastically into every plan that spells progress, but they initiate, and in taking the initiative they do it always constructively. In the Ad Men Atlanta possesses a strong organization that combines the ability and determination with a generous willingness to do whatever is asked of it for the city's advancement and uplift.

SAMUEL W. DIBBLE.

A writer whose touch was as true as that which informed his work; of rare insight and breadth of view; of truly high achievement in the newspaper ranks where he gave loyal service from earliest manhood—almost from youth; winning, yet shrinking from praise, the death of Samuel Wadding Dibble, the editorial staff of The Constitution, is a loss to journalism.

To his friends and associates on The Constitution who knew him, only to love him, his death comes as a deep, personal grief; for it is as if here, too, family ties have been broken; there is a darkened desk—a vacant chair, but light shines from the shadow of the grief—the light of a loved personality, and dear to the hearts of his friends are all remembrances of him.

On the very threshold of broadening life, when Hope whispered sweet words and Fame—if he thought of it at all—did not seem the phantom of a far journey; in the midst of the joy of his work, with all the Future beckoning, he went away.

But he went brave, even as he had lived; his brow was to the light when the shadow fell; and it was light the darkness could not dim. Great were his gifts, and now the gift of Rest is his, earlier than he thought to have had, but perfectly—supreme.

Faithfully he lived; faithful to the last, he rests by the wayside where the tollers, less fortunate than he with work so well and early done, press on and leave him with the dreams.

DREAMER AND BUILDER.

What all men thought of the late Hopkinson Smith is finely voiced by The New York Sun:

For Hopkinson Smith, the artist in words, a writer, builder of light-houses, or pedestals and Colonies—God "blew them" the fine "caterers"—God "blew them" the fine "caterers"—God "blew them" the fine "caterers"—kindly unkind in judgment of his neighbors, but always kind in judgment of his friends, in his understanding of it, whether about sunsets or nations or beacons or the like, his perception will sincerely move.

No finer, truer epithet could have been written of him. Here was a man who lived a full life, who did many things and whose true hand touched nothing that it did not adorn. Admiration and love were his and followed him to his well-earned rest. He turned from the practical things of life to dreams, but he did not make dreams his master; he only made them more beautiful for a world that has little time for dreaming, and so made himself a loved and desired guest at the friendly firesides of the world.

The Russians are after the railroads, but there are no free passes in the Carpathians.

It will require very little time for the few political optimists to complete a survey of what is left of the republican party.

The Kronprinz Wilhelm will have to get in a brewery order. The Ettel has 20,000 bottles, but that's nothing between two sea-raiders.

The opinion of Dr. Elliot is that this is no time to pray for peace. It's still worth fighting for.

It must make Villa and Carranza rare to read of all those filmmen following Huerta about.

The consolation of the interned is that there never was a better year in which to see America first.

The spring clean-up campaign, under the supervision of the courts, will be pretty thorough in Terre Haute.

Through Irvin Cobb may be equal to the task of writing 10,000 words about a peasant, why should he do so when he hasn't in politics?

In Kentucky a man was arrested for kicking a mule. Chance for a commission in insanity.

Cheer up. Even a dark spot has a place in the sun.

There are men who really know how to run the country—but never, never get a chance.

Hertia might salute the flag—not necessarily for publication, but as a guarantee of good faith.

JUST from GEORGIA

By Frank L. Stanton

The Dream of Rest.
(In Memory of Samuel W. Dibble)

A little of life and light,
A little of dark and death,
Then twilight bids with life's farewells—
Silence and sleep.

Who knows what may be best?—
Sweet is life's dream of Rest.

III.

The sports of the strife
Silence and sleep of peace;
No more of death-in-life,
Storm-voiced alarms.

The flowers of Sleep are fair.—
There is no thorn in them there.

IV.

Joy or the pain of tears,
High-spirited or subdued breast,
Still shudders at all God's yearnings—
The dream of Rest.

Now shall that life-dream die—
While God's love lights the sky.

V.

Dear calm, calm Deep,
Joy to Eternity—
The flowers sink in sleep—
The voice of Life's dead.

O life, still crowned and beauteous—
The dream of Rest.

Now comes the hour of Rest—
While God's love lights the sky.

VI.

Cards, tennis racquets,
Those!

</div



Hoot, Mon! Ho! Ye
Seen the Bonny
Stripes & Plaids?

In the New Silk
Street Dresses

At \$15

THESE rich colorful plaids and vivid stripes have made a wonderful "hit" in New York. These are the first, we believe, in Atlanta.

Some styles are with chiffon sleeves, somewhat as shown.

Others are with a solid, rich, coate matching the dominant color in plaid.

These are of chiffon taffeta, and are finished at waist with broad braid or silver girdle.

Stripes are of a rich, black, white and gold, red and white, etc.

Stimulated three-tier skirts, chiffon in waist and sleeves. \$15.

Just In!
Dozens of Smart
New Suits at
\$25 \$29.50.
S35
(Ready-to-Wear—Second Floor.)

More White
Golfine at 59c

I THESE in such demand for skirts, coats, suits and general sports wear that we find it hard to keep in stock.

All cotton, but rich looking as velvet. Light weight and washable. 32 inches.

59c. 75c. \$1 a yd.
(Silks, Main Floor—Left.)

Silk & Cotton
Crepe de Chine 50c

I T looks like an all-silk crepe de chine, but one-half is cotton. Long wearing and washable—in high vogue for underwear, pajamas, etc. Colors: black and colors. 36 inches. 50c.

A. B. C. silk is a similar fabric, in a smooth surface, instead of crepe. Black and colors: 36 inches. 50c.

(Silks, Main Floor—Left.)

M. RICH & BROS. CO.

M. RICH & BROS. CO.

Newly Trimmed Hats at

\$1.98
Fresh and becoming styles;
\$1.98, of course, is less than
value of either shapes or
trimmings

T HIS amazing offer is made solely to focus attention on our new popular price millinery section.

Hats are of fine hemp and novelty braids; cleverly trimmed with fancy feathers, flowers and ribbons. A wide choice at \$1.98.

\$2 Untrimmed Hats 79c

\$2.00 Hats at 79c sounds
big, but here are the hats:

Large Sailors, Medium & Small Shapes
Developed of fine Milan hemp, chins, and
hems and hats with transparent brims.

Black and desired colors, 79c.

Flowers!

Flowers, and more flowers.
Here in abundance—full-blown flowers; small buds, wreaths.

10c. 19c. 29c

M. RICH & BROS. CO.

PLEA DENIED, FRANK MAY MOVE FOR A REHEARING

Continued From Page One.

Frank through the courts. The state pardon officials might relieve him.

Justice Pitney Delivers Opinion.

Justice Pitney delivered the opinion, declaring that the state had the right in this case of Georgia the fullest right and opportunity to be heard according to the established mode of procedure.

"Is the opinion of this court?" continued the justice, "to be that the state has been deprived of any right or opportunity by the fourteenth amendment or any other provision of the constitution or laws of the United States to have a hearing before it is convicted and is now held in custody under process of law within the state?"

It is believed by legal authorities here that only the state pardon officials of Georgia can determine if Frank may have the death penalty for his conviction of the murder of Mary Phagan, the Atlanta factory girl.

Frank, however, delivered a dissenting opinion, in which Justice Hughes concurred.

Decision Based on Appeal.

The court's decision was based on an appeal from the action of the United States district court for northern Georgia in which it denied Frank on a writ of habeas corpus.

His petition for habeas corpus rested on allegations of due process of law in Atlanta, amounting to a mob domination and his involuntary absence when the verdict was given.

Justice Pitney, in his decision, held that the obligation rested on the supreme court to let through the form and "not the substance" of the appeal of the matter, not only in the averment in Frank's petition, but in the trial proceedings, in the state courts there.

The petition contains a narrative of disorder, hostile manifestations, and, said Justice Pitney, "and just as it stands and were to be taken as true, may be conceded to have been inconsistent with a fair trial and are not sufficient to constitute a cause for the trial of the accused in a capital case." The facts, however, are procedural procedure that it is within the power of the state to waive.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the jury is impaneled, and that such a waiver may be valid, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the supreme court of Georgia that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.

The contention that the defendant may waive his right to be present when the verdict is given, is not supported by the facts.