if the people can overlook the unconditional pardon of a cold-blooded assassin, they cannot afterwards continue to ostracise the governor who commuted the sentence of Leo Frank.

A vote for Harris, NOW, is a vote for Sla-

ton, HEREAFTER.

(5) From some source, Governor Harris is getting lavish campaign funds, just as James A. Perry and James D. Price are doing.

Who puts up the money?

In hundreds of weekly papers, and in all the dailies, we can see flaming pictures of Harris and of Price, and long "readers" by Perry. This space is costing tens of thousands of dollars.

WHOSE MONEY?

Dorsey is not able to advertise himself in this circus-going style. J. J. Brown is not. Guyt McLendon is not. Dr. Keese is not.

Where did their opponents get all those ducats?

(6) The tremendous importance which is attached to the defeat of Dorsey, proves that powerful and invisible interests fear him.

Why have those Moneyed Interests avoided Dr. Hardman, and centered on Nat Harris?

The Doctor came very near defeating the Railroad lawyer, two years ago. He was therefore the logical man to beat Dorsey with, provided they wanted a strong man, other than Dorsey.

Why have they selected a notoriously weak man, and one who has been so completely under Hamp McWhorter's thumb?

Look out, folks!

The L. and N. Railroad is in the woodpile.

Congressman Frank Park asks me to say that he was requested to sign the application for commutation in the Frank case, and that he declined to do so. The request was made by Mr. Jacob Wigodner, of Sylvester.

I have examined the list of commuters published by Slaton in self-defense, and do not

find the name of Frank Park.

James A. Perry is able to publish a long "reader" advertisement in the paper of Stock-bridge, the Yankee carpet-bagger who attempted to impute lewdness to Mary Phagan, and thus defile that little Georgia girl's grave.

Stockbridge took sides against us in that light, lied about us venomously, and was no loubt paid for his loathsome services.

Why did Perry buy space in The Ruralist, which was the only paper that accused our heroic little Georgia martyr of being a lewd wench?

SHAME UPON YOU, PERRY!

By patronizing such an obscene old buzzard as Stockbridge, you show your own want of scruple.

After that little girl had died in defense of ther honor, it was a horrible thing for Stock-bridge to insinuate that she was a prostitute.

Shame upon you Perry, for giving your campaign money to a carpet-bagger of that peculiarly vile stripe!

In the matter of the Romanist manipulations in the Atlanta schools, the facts stated in The Jeffersonian are strictly true, but Dr. Keese (my informant) was given the wrong name as to the Davis Street School.

The PRINCIPAL of the school is MISS RIORDAN, A CATHOLIC; and it is she who has been teaching our Protestant public-school pupils that "the Catholic religion is the best."

Miss Stewart, a teacher under Miss Riordan, is not a Catholic, and is innocent. Of course, I deeply regret that the wrong name was given me.

Miss Riordan is the guilty party, and it is up to M. L. Brittain, E. C. Merry, E. M.

Hodine, and other members of the Board, to explain how it was that this papist held a place in our Public School system, and used it to poison the minds of Protestant pupils.

Mr. H. Johenning, 161 Jones avenue, At-

lanta, will testify to the facts.

Mr. Stewart D. Jones, 124 Whitehall St., Atlanta, will testify as to Miss Lovett and her burning the Testaments of the Protestant children.

Fine conditions are growing up under Brittain!

No wonder the Catholics are all supporting him.

Brittain is hustling his Supervisors over the State, at your expense, and at least one of them is telling it, that "Dr. Keese is too old and infirm, etc."

Don't you fret, Mr. Supervisor Land!

Dr. Keese was not too old and too infirm to eatch on to the Catholic propaganda in the Public Schools of Atlanta.

Dr. Keese is four years older than I am; and when he was here, a few days ago, he looked quite strong enough to take and tote the scalp of the Book-trust-Catholic crook, M. L. Brittain.

I entreat every friend of mine in the First Congressional District to rebuke the Executive and the candidate that threw over the County-unit plan. It had been in use for 25 years, and the people were not consulted about changing it.

The Committee went to Savannah, was dined by Meldrim, (according to the Savannah papers) and was in close personal touch with him when it changed the time-honored

When The Jeffersonian condemned the change, there was ample time for Meldrim's committee to re-convene, and get right.

It scorned the wishes of the Country Counties, and stood pat.

All right: we stand pat, also.

We are hell-bent on maintaining the rights of the Country Counties, against the big-city encroachments.

Let every friend of mine and of constitutional law, get into the fight for OVER-STREET, and give him such a majority that the Catholics of Savannah cannot overcome it.

After having read Sunday's papers, you cannot longer doubt who is running for governor.

IT IS JOHN M. SLATON!

He has picked up Harris, Prohibition, and the Frank case, has linked them together, and hopes to "come back" by vilifying, slandering, and crushing Hugh Dorsey.

For whom will you vote-

Dorsey or Slaton?

Dorsey, the tireless and unpurchasable Solicitor, working for months at his nominal fee-bill compensation, vindicated the Law and the Courts, against a most repulsive criminal, and against a phenominal combination of Hearst papers, Hebrew papers, Burns detectives, marketable politicians, and peculiar Doctors of Divinity.

On the contrary, Governor Slaton, sworn to enforce the laws, remained Leo Frank's lawyer, and worked for him, CON-TINUALLY, in his law-office; and this work was an elaborate attempt to defeat the law, by fabricating an Extraordinary Motion for new trial based on perjuries—such perjuries as that of the "Rev." Ragsdale and his "deacon."

Luther Rosser is the legal adviser of Slaton's "come back" campaign; and both he and Slaton—as well as Hearst—realize that Dorsey's election will be Slaton's condemnation.

Destroy Dorsey, and then Slaton can "come

Denying the facts, as to his having favored

back!"

That's the watch word.

the commutation of Leo Frank's sentence, Congressman Schley Howard told Mr. Patton at Fairburn—

"You know I didn't favor the communication, for if I had, that little red-headed Devil, down the Georgia Railroad, would have been on my neck about it."

Fie, fie, Slippery! I am not so narrow and proscriptive as to jump on everybody who favored that commutation. Sympathetic women, men who did not know the evidence, those who oppose capital punishment, and thousands who believed the Burns lies about Frank's conviction resting solely on the testimony of a negro—these constituted many of those who were duped into signing the application.

I noticed your position at the time, Slippery, but you were a small bird, hardly worth good powder. Besides, no one knew that you would have an opponent, running on the biggest issue which now looms up before the American people.

Slippery, my head is not so red as it was when I made the long fight against the man who sold out the Farmers' Alliance to Pat Calhoun—of which fight you were the beneficiary.

We hoped that you would be an improvement on Lon Livingston, and we have given you two terms, to make good. You haven't done anything in Congress, Slippery: that is, nothing in particular. You have had \$30,000 of our money for salary, more than \$7,000 for Private Secretary, and 10 cents a mile to travel on.

You made a great todo in the papers about Flood relief, and your constituents have been offered 60 cents a day by the Government for the labor of a white man, and only one man out of the family can get even that.

Flood relief of that sort is a mockery of

distress.

It is the same way up in Gordon Lee's district, I hear—Gordon being the only one of those 23 deserters who hangs on in Georgia, Alabama, and Florida. The others have been beat out.

Last week, when the country was on the brink of an abyss, and the President was calling on Congress to be ready to stand by him in the crisis, where were you, Slippery?

You were down here in Georgia, sliding around in the rural districts, and whispering lies where you thought they could not be contradicted.

You went back on the Gentiles. to curry favor with the Jews, and now you've gone back on the Jews, to reinstate yourself with the Gentiles.

Tried in the balance and found wanting: that's our verdict on you, William Schley.

Every true American, and every friend of mine is most earnestly urged to work, as well as vote, for Hon. Robert P. Blackburn, whose platform contains the greatest of all political issues, and whose valiant service in putting the Veazey bill into law, proves that he will make good in Congress.

M. L. Brittain is spending somebody's money freely, publishing falsehoods about Dr. Keese and myself. He says that I tried "to dictate" to him.

When, where, and as to WHAT?

He cannot, to save his double life, show that I have ever made a request of him, sought a favor at his hands, or attempted the slightest influence upon his policy, excepting one thing:

I have earnestly protested against the use of the State's money to support the Pope's sectarian schools in Savannah.

In other words, I have appealed to him to obey the law; and he does not, even now, say that he will do it, if you re-elect him.

He superciliously says that the objection to State-aid to Catholic religious schools "is threadbare." Brittain has persistently defied the public opinion on the question of free school-books, and he has never failed to serve the Yankee trust, slavishly, by lobbying against every bill which sought to infringe upon this most hateful monopoly.

He has also been utterly contemptuous of criticism, in the matter of violating the highest law of this State by financing the teaching of Roman Catholicism, at the expense of Pro-

testant tax payers.

In his latest expensive cards in the papers, he virtually proclaims his intention of pursuing his illegal methods.

His motto seems to be, "D-n the law!" And the scornful Brittain says that the law against church-use of State-money is "threadbare!"

Is the law against theft any less threadbare than the law which says that public funds shall not be given to religious institutions?

Is the law against murder any younger than the law which requires a complete separation of Church and State?

What a nakedly indefensible position is occupied by this sworn officer of the State, when his only reply to the charge that he has constantly violated the highest law, is that the charge "is threadbare!"

.The more threadbare the accusation, the deeper the guilt of the official who can't make

any other answer.

Do you want to condemn Hugh Dorsey for convicting Leo Frank, and re-establish the recreant governor who acted both as State officer and the murderer's attorney?

Do you want to ruin the Solicitor who did his duty, and vindicate the Governor who be-

trayed his trust?

If you do, vote for Slaton's candidate, N. E. Harris.

Slaton's entrance into the campaign, on the Frank case, means exactly that.

Slaton and his newspaper organs have made the issue:

A vote for Harris, NOW, is a vote for Staton, TWO YEARS FROM NOW!

Where You Can Find the Jeffersonian in Alabama.

Birmingham-117 1-2 N. 20th St., Wetsen Harris.

Birmingham-Birmingham Terminal Station. Gadsden-Riley Bros. Opelika-Union Depot Restaurant.

Bessemer-No. 14, 19th St., F. T. Scott.

Slocomb-Mr. Zell McGhee. York-Hill & Hill.

Anniston-The Smoke House.

How the Constitution of the United States Prevents Noble Congressmen from Doing Good.

IT must have made the heart of Senator Hardwick bleed, when his sensitive conscience coerced him into siding with Wall Street billionaires against the Railroad workers.

Jerome Jones must have suffered in his mind, and those Labor leaders who were so ardent for Hardwick must have grieved sorely.

Hardwick's virtue would not allow him to support the President and his Democratic colleagues because, he said, the Government should not yield the demands of men "highly organized."

Therefore, he deserted his President, and his Democratic colleagues, carrying his conscience and his vote to the Republicans.

Clarke of Arkansas was the only other Democratic Senator who voted with the Republicans and billionaires, against the men whose toil, last year, enabled the billionaires to pocket net profits to the amount of a thousand million dollars.

Not long ago, the Hardwick virtue endeavored to defeat the Child Labor bill.

Although the U.S. Constitution expressly gives Congress the power to regulate commerce, and although the Child Labor bill merely forbade commerce in the products of Child labor, this conscientiously virtuous Senator denied the power of Congress to protect the Republic's little white slaves.

Thus, you will observe that the highest law of the land is perpetually frustrating our Steam-roller Senator from doing good for the

lowly.

Strange to say, it does not hamper him in the other direction.

His conscience does not torture him when the interest of the Sugar Trust are at stake.

He suffers no qualms when the Government pays an army of spies to gather up information for Wall Street speculators.

He does not sweat blood when the military law is centralized, the power of the State destroyed, and compulsory service slipped into the system.

He made no war upon the new postal law which clothes the Postmaster-General with despotic power to destroy the freedom of the

He saw no invasion of Constitutional law in the Ben Johnson Juvenile Court Bill, which provides that children can be secretly railroaded into the Pope's hell-holes, without notice to parents, guardians, relatives, or friends.

His conscience erupts violently when Northern owners of Southern mills wish to continue the exploitation of our children, and when Wall Street billionaires demand a continuance of their arbitrary control of the National Highways.

The Senator—who is one by virtue of E. J. Reagan's steam-roller—says that he is opposed to governmental surrender to "men highly organized."

Since when?

The Sugar Trust, "highly organized," demanded and obtained a continuance of the tariff duties which were to have expired in May of this year.

The railroads, "highly organized," demanded and obtained a general advance in freight rates.

The cotton gamblers, "highly organized," demanded and obtained governmental insurance at 4 and 5 per cent, to escape the premiums of 25 and 30 per cent asked by the private insurance companies.

The national banks, "highly organized," demanded and obtained new governmental paper money at a nominal cost, and are now amassing fabulous fortunes by farming-the sovereign power of controlling the finances of one hundred million people!

Did Senator Hardwick's virtue and conscience trouble him on any of these demands of "men highly organized?"

No, indeed. He himself was writing insolent letters to distressed farmers, in 1914, declaring himself opposed to government loans to farmers, because such government

loans were unconstitutional. And, in behalf of the highly organized express companies, he was ridiculing and lying about the Parcels Post, pretending that farmers wanted to ship bales of cotton by express.

Hugh M. Dorsey's Speaking Dates

Columbus, Muskogee County, Thursday, September 7, 8:00 p. m.

Savannah, Chatham County, Friday, September 8, 8:00 p. m.

Atlanta, Fulton County, Saturday, September 9, 8:00 p. m.

"Socialists and Socialism" by Thos. E. Watson, has a vast amount of information of interest and value to those who think they know what Socialism stands for. Price 25c. The Jeffersonian Pub. Co., Thomson, Ga.

FROM HON. FRANK PARK.

Sylvester, Ga., Sept. 4, 1916, Editor The Jeffersonian,

Thomson, Ga. The following letter was received by me today from a gentleman in Grady County which I will thank you to print in this week's issue of The Jeffersonian, together with my Statement regarding the matter referred to which follows: Hon. Frank Park.

Sylvester, Ga. "Dear Sir: It is reported to me reliably, although I am not at liberty to divulge the source that on the day of the election there will be circulars distributed at every voting precinct in the district that you signed the Petition requesting Governor Slaton to pardon Leo M. Frank. I think you can count on this as reliable and am letting you know in confidence so you can take the same for what it is worth."

Soon after I returned from Washington when these petitions were being circulated, I was approached on two occasions by Mr. Jacob Wigodher, who has been in the mercantile business in Sylvester for twenty years and urged to sign a petition, or Write a letter to Governor Slaton in behalf of Leo Frank.

I told him that I had not kept up with the case, was not familiar with the facts and evidence, and did not feel that I was in position to do as requested. When subsequently approached I still refused to sign the petition or write a letter.

As the Jeffersonian is familiar with the names of those who did sign such a petition and wrote letters or sent telegrams, I will thank you to state the truth as you have found it. I wish to add further, that there is being circulated in the district a telegram written by R. P. Hale, Clerk Superior Court, Dougherty County, who has always been my bitter politi. cal enemy, which states that I appointed a Catholic as one of the Jury Commissioners in Dougherty County. The Jury Commissioners appointed by me were selected by the Law and Order League of Dougherty County. Five names were submitted to me as being men who would stand for law enforcement and would select jurors who would enforce the prohibition law in the county. On this recommendation I named them as Jury Commissioners without investigation on my part as to their qualifications or religious beliefs. They did select jurors who enforced the prohibition laws in the county. This was between four and five years ago, before my first election to Congress. It was told to me subsequently that one of the revisors selected by the Law and Order League was a Catholic. The fight that is now being waged against me in Dougherty County is by those who were opposed

to the enforcement of the prohibition law, and who seek revenge at this time in every conceivable way and by circulating statements which are part truth, but have all the vices of falsehood.

FRANK PARK.

For Representative in the 65th Congress.

To the Voters of the Second Congressional District:

Feeling profoundly grateful to you and deeply conscious of the great obligation and responsibility resting upon me, I hereby acknowledge the debt of gratitude I feel for the confidence you have so generously reposed in me.

I have spared no effort to serve you faithfully.

My record for the short time I have served you is before you and I am willing for you to pass upon it, confidently relying upon a fair verdict at the polls in September from a great people who are just as well as generous.

I hereby announce as a candidate to succeed myself as your representative in the 65th Congress, subject to your will and under the rules of the Democratic Primary.

While important measures affecting your interests are before Congress, and when every vote counts, I feel that I should attend to the duties to which you have assigned me, and return only when your interests are not neglected. I expect to be in the district and shall see as many of you personally as possible before the Primary on September 12th.

Faithfully yours,

FRANK PARK.